



A Tradition of Stewardship
A Commitment to Service

Board of Supervisors

1195 Third St.
Suite 310
Napa, CA 94559
www.countyofnapa.org

Main: (707) 253-4421
Fax: (707) 253-4176

Brad Wagenknecht
Chairman

FILED

JUL - 8 2013

Clerk of the Napa Superior Court
By: C. Brennan
Deputy

June 25, 2013

The Honorable Diane Price
Presiding Judge
Napa County Superior Court
825 Brown Street
Napa, CA 94559

SUBJECT: Response to the Grand Jury 2012-2013 Final Reports on the Integrity of Grand Jury Investigations and the Napa County Jail

Dear Judge Price,

The Napa County Board of Supervisors has reviewed the findings and recommendations contained in the 2012-2013 Final Report on the Integrity of Grand Jury Investigations and the Final Report on the Napa County Jail. As required by Penal Code Section 933(c), enclosed are the Board of Supervisors and appointed Department Head responses to these reports. The Grand Jury is to be commended for its work on behalf of the citizens of Napa County.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Brad Wagenknecht".

Brad Wagenknecht, Chairman
Napa County Board of Supervisors

Enclosure

cc: Foreman, 2012-2013 Grand Jury
The Honorable Mark S. Boessenecker

Brad Wagenknecht
District 1

Mark Luce
District 2

Diane Dillon
District 3

Bill Dodd
District 4

Keith Caldwell
District 5

NAPA COUNTY
RESPONSE TO THE GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT ON
THE NAPA COUNTY JAIL
FISCAL YEAR 2012-2013

Finding 1. In the 18 months since implementation began, Realignment has resulted in approximately 100 additional offenders for NCDC and Probation. The impact of Realignment has been modest and effectively managed by the CCP.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with this finding.

Finding 2. The information technology/systems used to keep records for adult criminal justice have not been used for extracting information specific to quantifying recidivism in Napa County.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors disagrees partially with this finding. Although recidivism rates are not directly calculated in the County's current criminal justice data system, data is taken and loaded into reporting systems that allow us to analyze basic recidivism findings. Work on a new data management system is underway and it is expected that an updated system and reporting/analytics process will improve our ability to see individual program impacts as they relate to recidivism.

Finding 3. The Board of Supervisors has made the decision to construct a new jail and relocate to outside of downtown.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with this finding. In November 2012, the Board of Supervisors confirmed that an out of downtown location would be the preferred alternative for the construction of a new jail.

Recommendation No. 1: It is recommended that the NCDC and Probation fully define recidivism and work with Napa County Information Technology Services to extract meaningful information concerning past recidivism in order to gauge success of ongoing alternative programs. This should be implemented by 1Q2014.

Director of Corrections, Chief Probation Officer, and Chief Information Officer Response: The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but is in the process of being implemented. The Criminal Justice agencies have defined recidivism as *being a new conviction*. Additionally, the tracking of violations of probation is also part of recidivism, but tracked separately to provide more detailed analysis. In order to evaluate programs and monitor trends in the criminal justice population, there has been an extensive project to develop a new County-wide criminal justice information management system. This has been a collective project of the Criminal Justice Department Directors, Chief Information Officer, and County Executive Office. It is anticipated that implementation will occur by the end of fiscal year 2014-2015.

Recommendation No. 2: The Grand Jury recommends that every effort be made to design a state-of-the-art jail facility. It should be sufficient for current needs as well as anticipated future

requirements. Emphasis should be placed on designing the jail to facilitate not only capacity, but also the logistics of medical, mental health, educational, and evidence based programs.

Board of Supervisors Response: The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but is in the process of being implemented. Although design and construction is several years away, the Board of Supervisors expects to review an environmental impact report which analyzes the preferred out of downtown location for a new jail by the end of the 2013 calendar year.