Napa, CA 94559 www.countyofnapa.org Main: (707) 253-4551 Fax: (707) 226-9065

1195 Third Street · Room B10



A Tradition of Stewardship A Commitment to Service JUN - 5 2013

Tracy A. Schulze Auditor-Controller

May 17, 2013

The Honorable Diane Price Presiding Judge Superior Court of the State of California County of Napa 825 Brown Street Napa, CA 94559

RE:

2012-2013 Grand Jury Report

On the Integrity of Grand Jury Investigations

Dear Judge Price:

Thank you for your report entitled "On the Integrity of Grand Jury Investigations". I truly appreciate the hard work and dedication the members of the 2012-2013 Grand Jury put towards this effort.

In accordance with Section 933(a) of the California Penal Code, please find the attached Auditor-Controller responses as requested to findings 1 through 3, and recommendation 1. If you have any additional questions or need any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me at 707-299-1733.

Sincerely,

Tracy A. Schulze Auditor-Controller

Enclosure

Cc: Victor Connell, Foreperson 2012-2013 Napa County Grand Jury

Napa County Board of Supervisors

Nancy Watt, Napa County Executive Officer

Napa County Responses to Grand Jury Report On the Integrity of Grand Jury Investigations Fiscal Year 2012-2013

Responses to Findings:

Finding 1. Certain witnesses interviewed by the Grand Jury, knowingly, repeatedly and willfully, violated their lawful secrecy admonition.

Auditor-Controller's Response: Having no personal information related to this finding, I am unable to agree or disagree with this finding.

Finding 2. The conduct of the witnesses in repeatedly violating the Grand Jury's lawful secrecy admonition created an atmosphere of apprehension and intimidation which impeded the proper investigation of the Grand Jury.

Auditor-Controller's Response: Having no personal information related to this finding, I am unable to agree or disagree with this finding. However, I agree that if witnesses repeatedly violate the Grand Jury's secrecy admonition, it could create an atmosphere of apprehension and intimidation which would impede the proper investigation of the Grand Jury.

Finding 3. The conduct of the witnesses who violate the secrecy admonition is punishable as contempt of the Superior Court.

Auditor-Controller's Response: I don't have adequate legal background to agree or disagree on this finding.

Response to Recommendation:

Recommendation No. 1 That the County Board of Supervisors, the City Council of each incorporated jurisdiction, the County Counsel and every publicly elected official not under the authority of the foregoing provide instruction to all county employees within their jurisdiction regarding their duties and responsibilities towards the grand jury process and that said instruction be completed prior to the end to this calendar year.

Auditor-Controller's Response: The County Board of Supervisors adopted a Code of Ethics in 2005. This document is discussed and provided to all new employees and is available to all employees on both the County's internal and external websites. I agree to review this document with my staff at a minimum annually and this year I will emphasize the importance of it in the context of Grand Jury investigations.