

NAPA COUNTY GRAND JURY 2014-2015

MAY 12, 2015

FINAL REPORT

ARE NAPA COUNTY WINERIES FOLLOWING THE RULES?

1 ARE NAPA COUNTY WINERIES FOLLOWING THE RULES?

2 **SUMMARY**

- 3 The Grand Jury undertook an investigation to determine if the Napa County
- 4 Planning Department is issuing winery use permits that conform to the
- 5 requirements of the Winery Definition Ordnance (WDO), which regulates wineries
- 6 located within the Napa County Agriculture Preserve. The Grand Jury also
- 7 investigated if the Planning Department is adequately monitoring the compliance
- 8 of the wineries with their use permit requirements.
- 9 Wineries and the attendant vineyards are Napa County as largest industry providing
- 10 the most jobs and greatest economic impact on the county. Wineries have been
- present since the earliest Europeans settled in the region, but the growth of
- wineries and the expansion of existing wineries have dramatically increased their
- 13 footprint in the county in recent years. Increasing public concern over the impact
- of winery growth on traffic, water resources, and other quality of life issues has
- been expressed in the news media and in public hearings.
- 16 The approvals of new wineries and winery expansions are regulated through use
- 17 permits issued by the County and are administered by the County Planning
- 18 Department. The Planning Department is also charged with enforcing winery
- 19 compliance with the conditions of their use permits. Wineries established before
- 20 the enactment of the current regulations are to some extent exempt from these
- 21 regulations, but if these wineries expand, the current regulations do apply. Public
- 22 concern has also been expressed about the lack of transparency in winery
- 23 compliance with their use permit conditions.
- 24 The number of wineries in Napa County is growing. According to data published
- by the Planning Department, in the seven-year period ending in 2013 a yearly
- average of 18 use permits were approved. These use permits authorized an
- 27 average of eight new wineries each year, plus 10 winery expansions allowing
- approximately 180,000 gallons of additional wine production. There was an
- 29 attendant approval of about an additional 28,000 visitors for tasting and 3,000
- 30 visitors for marketing events for each year.
- 31 The focus of this investigation was to determine if the Planning Department has
- 32 followed the guidance of the WDO in issuing use permits and if the winery audits

- are sufficient to determine if the wineries are in compliance with their use permit
- 34 requirements.
- 35 The Grand Jury concluded that the planning staff does a conscientious job of
- 36 reviewing use permit applications for new wineries and for winery expansions to
- 37 ensure their conformance with the WDO and the Napa County General Plan.
- 38 Because of the number of applicants and the complexity of the permitting process,
- 39 the length of time to obtain a permit frequently requires a year or more. The
- 40 applicants bear the costs of the stafføs time required to issue permits.
- 41 The Napa County Planning Department also has the responsibility for auditing the
- 42 compliance of the wineries with their use permit conditions. The Grand Jury also
- 43 concluded that the code enforcement staff is doing a professional job in its audit
- 44 and compliance function in so far as their limited resources permit. There has been
- approximately 30% of one code enforcement inspector devoted to auditing winery
- 46 compliance. An additional code enforcement inspector was added to the staff in
- 47 January of 2015, but will have a range of duties other than winery audits. The
- 48 Grand Jury reviewed the audit results of winery compliance with their use permits
- 49 for calendar years 2011-2013.
- 50 The investigation revealed that only 20 wineries are audited each year out of the
- approximately 467 wineries in the Napa County winery database. In the audits of
- 52 2011-2013 from 30% to 40% of the wineries audited were not in compliance for
- one or more requirements of their permits. The audits are limited in scope and all
- 54 conditions specified by the use permits are not reviewed. This coupled with the
- 55 relatively small number of wineries audited may not give a full picture of
- 56 compliance.
- 57 The Grand Jury urges that the number and scope of the audits be increased to give
- a broader indication of compliance with the WDO even though this may require
- 59 more code enforcement staff than currently employed. The identifications of the
- 60 wineries that are audited are not released. The Grand Jury also urges that the
- names of non-compliant wineries be released to give greater transparency to the
- 62 process and to raise public awareness.
- 63 Finally, the Grand Jury urges the Board of Supervisors and the Planning
- 64 Commissioners to determine whether the WDO as written provides the regulatory
- 65 framework necessary to maintain a winery industry that is consistent with the
- 66 Agriculture Preserve Ordinance.

78

GLOSSARY

69 Ag Preserve: Agriculture Preserve of Napa County, Ordinance 274 of April

70 9, 1968

71 General Plan: Napa County General Plan of 2007

72 TTB: Federal Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau

73 WDO: Collective term for the Winery Definition Ordinances

Winery Definition Ordinance, Ordinance NO. 947 January 23,

75 1990

Winery Definition Ordinance, Ordinance NO. 1340 May 11,

77 2010

BACKGROUND

79 AGRICULTURE PRESERVE OF NAPA COUNTY

- 80 Concerned that residential and commercial development would slowly overwhelm
- the agricultural nature of Napa County, in 1968 the Board of Supervisors passed a
- 82 landmark-zoning ordinance that created the first Agricultural Preserve in the
- 83 United States. This ordinance reflected a commitment to agriculture as the
- 84 õhighest and best useö of most of the land outside of the local towns and the city of
- 85 Napa. The ordinance dictated that the only commercial activity allowed in these
- 86 areas was agriculture and, furthermore, set minimum lot sizes that prevented
- 87 fragmentation of existing parcels, thus limiting the potential for development. The
- 88 pertinent sections of the Agricultural Preserve Ordinance have been incorporated
- 89 into the õAgricultural Preserve and Land Useö elements of the General Plan. The
- 90 Countyøs General Plan is the official policy statement of the Board of Supervisors
- and serves as a broad framework for guiding the development of Napa County.

92 THE WINERY DEFINITION ORDINANCE (WDO)

- 93 Wineries had been allowed in the Ag Preserve. But, with the ensuing pace of
- 94 winery development in the county, it became clear that specific winery definitions
- 95 were necessary as to what sorts of activities would be allowed in wineries to
- 96 comply with the Agriculture Preserve Ordinance. To accomplish this, the County
- 97 Board of Supervisors passed the WDO, Ordinance No. 947, in 1990. This

- ordinance set out regulations and required a use permit for all wineries established
- 99 after July 31, 1974. Wineries that were established before this date and were
- operating in a legal fashion could continue operation without a use permit.
- However, any expansion beyond the level that existed before July 31, 1974, would
- 102 require obtaining a use permit.
- 103 The WDO regulates many facets of a wineryøs operations and design, including
- size, location, signage, availability of tours and tastings, production capacity, grape
- sourcing, special events, and retail sales. It also regulates the accessory uses of the
- winery facilities for promotion and marketing of wine. The WDO defines certain
- other activities that may be present on the winery property such as farm labor
- housing and day care for children, but does not allow non-winery related
- 109 commercial development.
- With some important qualifications, the WDO defines a winery as a business that
- makes wine. Specifically, it says a winery is an õagricultural processing facilityö
- for othe fermenting and processing of grape juice into wine. The WDO allows for
- wineries to sell and market wine, but such marketing activity must be õaccessoryö
- and subordinate to production. The maximum square footage of structures devoted
- to accessory uses related to the winery must be 40% or less than the area used for
- 116 wine production.
- 117 With the principal goal of preserving Napa Countyøs agricultural lands, as well as,
- providing a reliable market for its agricultural products, the WDO dictates that new
- 119 wineries or any expansion of existing wineries after January 23, 1990, must source
- 120 at least 75% of their grapes from Napa County. Wineries that were established
- prior to this date, but obtained a use permit to expand their production must also
- use at least 75% Napa County grapes for the additional wine produced from the
- 123 expansion.
- 124 The WDO was amended in 2010 by County Ordinance NO. 1340 to address
- 125 certain issues related to the marketing of wine and the sale of other items in the
- wineries. Specifically covered in this ordinance are: the marketing of wine, food,
- and wine pairings conducted as part of õtours and tastingö and the sale of wine and
- 128 wine related products at the winery. Retail sales of non-wine related products were
- 129 prohibited.

WINERY USE PERMITS

- 132 As a result of the WDO, wineries that were established after July 31, 1974, were
- required to obtain a ouse permit. Wineries that legally existed before July 31,
- 134 1974, did not require a use permit to continue operation. These wineries are
- considered to be ograndfathered ino as to their production and marketing activities.
- However, any modification of a pre-July 31, 1974 wineryøs activities or expansion
- of its production of wine required a use permit conforming to the WDO. There is,
- however, no legal limit on the number of wineries operating in the county.
- 139 The WDO established a minimum parcel size of 10 acres for new wineries, but
- 140 recognized that many legally existing wineries were on smaller parcels. For these
- 141 õsmall wineriesö the WDO specified that a õCertificate of Exemptionö must be
- obtained. Any expansion of the õsmall wineriesö however, required that the
- winery proceed in accordance with the requirements of the WDO ordinance.

144 **METHODOLOGY**

- 145 The Grand Jury undertook a series of interviews with the Napa County Planning
- 146 Department and Code Enforcement executives and working level professionals.
- 147 Interviews were also conducted with a planning commissioner and a county
- supervisor. Additional interviews were held with a number of independent
- 149 consultants and engineers who support and guide winery use permits applications
- with the county planning staff. The Napa Valley Vintner¢s staff was another
- valuable source of information on the winery industry in Napa County. The Grand
- 152 Jury also attended a public hearing of a joint session of the Supervisors and the
- 153 Planning Commissioners that heard over 60 comments from the public on the wine
- industry and its impact on the community.
- 155 In every case, all information and facts in this report were confirmed by a second
- source and in many cases by multiple sources unless otherwise noted in the report.
- 157 Valuable insights to the audit process were gained by reviewing the Code
- 158 Enforcement audit reports for wineries for calendar years 2011-2013. The WDO
- provided a framework for understanding winery regulations and the winery
- 160 permitting process. The Napa General Plan provided general guidelines for the
- planned pace of winery and vineyard development in the County.

164

DISCUSSION

USE PERMITS

- 165 Use permits for new wineries or winery modifications are under the jurisdiction of
- the Napa County Planning Department. Applicants for winery permits are required
- to provide a detailed description of their winery business including the number of
- 168 employees, maximum production rate, number and description of winery
- structures, and marketing programs. The reviews by the Planning Department are
- thorough and time consuming and frequently require 9 to 12 months or more
- before a permit is issued. The applicant bears the cost of the reviews.
- 172 Although the details of all winery permit applications are reviewed and vetted by
- the Planning Department, the final decision on approval or disapproval is the
- 174 responsibility of the Napa County Planning Commissioners. The meetings of the
- 175 Planning Commissioners are open to the public. If there is an aggrieved party to
- the issuance of a permit, the application may be brought before the County Board
- of Supervisors. The County Zoning Code does, however, define certain minor
- 178 modifications to use permits that may be approved directly by the Planning
- 179 Department without the involvement of the Planning Commissioners.
- 180 There has been considerable discussion in the local press and the community about
- opposition to certain winery and vineyard projects in the Valley and the impact of
- the industryøs growth on traffic, the environment and other quality of life issues.
- 183 These public concerns pose the question as to whether the WDO should be revised
- to moderate the growth of wineries. The planning staff was clearly sensitive to this
- public discourse and appeared to be proceeding cautiously in approving new use
- 186 permits.
- 187 Considerable effort was expended to determine the actual number of wineries in
- the county. The Planning Department public data indicates that there are 467
- wineries that have been issued use permits, but this does not include all wineries.
- 190 Part of the difficulty in estimating the number of wineries is due to the number of
- 191 ővirtual wineriesö. These are wineries that do not own their own crushing and
- 192 processing equipment, but use õbrick and mortarö wineries to provide these
- 193 services under contract. Use permits for wineries, however, õgo with the landö and
- must include the production total for both their own wine and the wine of any
- custom crushing that the winery performs for virtual wineries.
- Another source of uncertainty is that wineries that were established before July 31,
- 197 1974, do not require a use permit unless they have applied for a permit to expand.
- 198 Wineries in commercial areas not subject to agricultural land use zoning are also
- 199 not included. These wineries are not included in the County database. The Federal

- 200 Alcohol, Trade and Tax Bureau, (TTB) which taxes the alcohol content produced
- by all wineries reported that there were 603 wineries in Napa County in 2014.
- 202 (There are other estimates of the number of wineries from the State Alcohol
- 203 Beverage Control Board and the Napa Valley Vintners membership and the
- 204 planning staff has estimated that the number of wineries with separate labels and
- addresses could be as high as 1,260.) These differences in winery count between
- 206 the County database, the TTB, and the other organizations are apparently due to
- 207 the following:

209210

- Virtual wineries are not included in the County database.
 - Wineries in the Countyøs municipalities have their own land use-zoning requirements and are not included in the County database.
- Wineries in commercial or industrial zoned districts are not under
- agriculture land use zoning and would not be included in the County winery database.
- 214 The Planning Department is in the process of developing a more comprehensive
- 215 winery database.
- 216 A number of consultants who support the wineries in applying for and obtaining
- 217 use permits were interviewed and were very informative in evaluating the
- application process from the standpoint of the wineries in cost, time, and
- 219 effectiveness. In their view, the time required to apply for and receive a permit has
- 220 increased significantly. Since the applicant bears the cost, it has grown
- 221 considerably more expensive to obtain a permit.
- 222 Although there has been public concern expressed in the public media about the
- 223 impact of winery expansion in the City of Napa and other County municipalities,
- 224 this investigation did not review the winery use permit and audit process for these
- 225 municipalities
- 226 The number of wineries and the production of wines is growing. According to data
- published by the Planning Department for the seven-year period ending in 2014,
- there was an average of 18 new use permits issued each year, of which an average
- of eight are for new wineries. These use permits authorized an average production
- of approximately 180,000 gallons of additional wine per year. The attendant
- 231 number of visitors is also growing. The new use permits for this period also
- authorized an average of about 28,000 additional visitors each year for tasting
- 233 rooms and an average of 3,700 visitors for marketing events. It should be noted
- 234 that all wineries do not necessarily produce the amount of wine allowed or have as
- 235 many visitors as specified by their use permit.

WINERY AUDITS

- 238 The Code Enforcement staff is part of the Planning Department and is responsible
- 239 for auditing winery compliance with their use permit requirements. Approximately
- 240 30% of one code enforcement staff member staff member staff time has been devoted to winery
- 241 audits.
- 242 The Planning Commissioners directed the Planning Department to initiate an
- annual "spot" audit of winery production in 2005. The Planning Commission began
- 244 the production review by randomly selecting 20 wineries by blind draw. Prior to
- 245 2009, only six wineries from the original 20 selected were audited, but since 2009
- 246 all of the 20 wineries selected have been reviewed.
- 247 In 2010, the Planning Department broadened the scope of the audits and began
- 248 reviewing tours and tastings log books and marketing events for all wineries drawn
- 249 in the audit. The audit determined how the information was recorded and whether
- 250 they were in compliance with the use permit conditions regarding visitations.
- 251 Goods for sale in the tasting rooms were reviewed to determine if they met the
- definition in the WDO to allow only the sale of "winery related items.ö
- 253 Beginning in 2011, grape sourcing data were reviewed for each winery to
- determine if they were in compliance with the 75% Napa County grape
- 255 requirement for Napa Valley wineries subject to the WDO. This information is
- 256 available since all California wineries are required to submit grape sourcing
- 257 information to the State of California's Department of Food and Agriculture.
- 258 Information on winery production may also be checked against the data from the
- 259 Federal Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, (TTB), which taxes the
- 260 production of alcohol.
- Winery audits are performed on a seven-year cycle such that if a winery is deemed
- 262 to be in compliance it will not be subject to another audit for at least seven years.
- 263 Wineries that are not in compliance are audited again the following year.
- However at this rate of 20 winery audits per year out of the Countyøs database of
- approximately 467 wineries, it will take decades before all wineries have been
- audited and are audited again.
- 267 Winery audits review the following activities:
- Is wine production within the limits of the use permit?
- Is grape sourcing compliant with the 75% Napa County grapes requirement?
- Are the number of tours and tasting events within permit requirements?
- Are the number of marketing events within the permit limits?
- Are all the products for retail sale wine related?

- 273 Winery audits do not review the following:
- Water usage, which is vital to wine production, and wastewater treatment.
- The accessory uses of facilities to determine if they meet the 40% or less
- square footage requirement of the area of the production facilities.
- 277 Penalties for non-compliance have been on a case-by-case basis and depend on the
- 278 nature of the infraction, but have included monetary penalties and orders to limit or
- 279 cease production. Generally, if the non-compliance is minor, such as a small
- overage in production for one year, the winery is allowed to continue its operations
- but is audited the following year to ensure that it is in compliance.
- 282 The planning and code enforcement personnel were forthcoming in addressing our
- inquiries. Audit reports were available upon request and the audits for 2011 -2013
- were reviewed. These reports provided hard data on the compliance of the audited
- 285 wineries with their use permit requirements. For these audit years, the number of
- 286 wineries that were out of compliance on one or more of the activities audited grew
- from 29% in 2011 to 40% in 2013. The non-compliant wineries were not
- specifically identified in the audit reports because the reports contain proprietary
- 289 market information.

FINDINGS

- 291 F1. The code compliance audit does not review or inspect the following:
- Water usage and wastewater treatment, which are essential to the production
- of wine.

290

- The accessory uses of facilities to determine if they meet the 40% or less
- square footage requirement of the area of the production facilities.
- F2. In the audit years 2011-2013, the number of wineries that were out of
- compliance on one of more activities audited varied from 29% to 40%. The
- names of the non-compliant wineries are not released to the public.
- F3. The Countyøs ability to expand the audit program is limited because only 30%
- of one code enforcement inspector has been devoted to winery audits. An
- additional inspector was hired in January 2015, but will have other code
- enforcement duties besides winery compliance inspections.
- F4. Penalties or restriction of wineriesø activities for non-compliance is
- determined by county officials. Since the penalties are decided on a case-by-
- case basis, wineries have no way of knowing the cost of code infractions.

F5. The lack of specificity in the winery database for actual production quantities makes it extremely difficult to determine if the growth of wineries is in conformance with the General Plan. The Planning Department is developing a more extensive winery database.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- R1. By January 1, 2016, the Planning Department to increase the number of yearly winery code enforcement audits from the current rate of 20 audits per year so that every winery would be audited at least every five years or at such intervals that the Planning Commissioners or County Supervisors deem to be
- 315 appropriate.

310

- R2. By June 30, 2016, the Planning Department and the Planning Commissioners to develop a process for monitoring and inspecting winery water treatment and disposal. A plan for monitoring water usage should also be implemented.
- R3. By January 1, 2016, the Planning Department to make the inspection reports of non-compliant wineries more transparent to the public in much the same fashion as health code violations of restaurants are reported.
- R4. By June 30, 2016, the county Board of Supervisors and the Planning
 Commissioners to determine whether the WDO as written provides the
 regulatory framework necessary to maintain a winery industry that is
 consistent with the Agriculture Preserve Ordinance.
- R5. By June 30, 2016, the Planning Commissioners to establish and publish a range of penalties and/or operating restrictions for non-compliance infractions of use permit requirements. Such action should encourage wineries to be more cognizant of the cost of non-compliance.

REQUEST FOR RESPONSES

- Pursuant to Penal Code section 933.05, the Grand Jury requests responses as
- 332 follows:

330

- Napa County Board of Supervisors R1, R2, R3, R4, R5
- Reports issued by the Grand Jury do not identify individuals interviewed. Penal Code section 929 requires that reports of the Grand Jury not contain the name of any person or facts leading to the identity of any person who provides information to the Grand Jury.